

1. It is not in dispute that the discharge application is still pending before the court below. Mr. Marwadi placing reliance on the statement made in the reply filed on behalf of the prosecution in particular paragraph 4 (b) thereof submits that no case is disclosed against the present petitioner. Even accepting this statement to be correct, to my mind, this ground can be pressed into service before the court below where the discharge application is pending. The only apprehension of the petitioner is that discharge application will not be decided by the court below expeditiously and moreover the

petitioner is bed ridden and is of advanced age of about 85 years as of now.

2. In these peculiar circumstances while disposing of both these petitions, the trial court is directed to decide the discharge application as expeditiously as possible preferably within four weeks from the receipt of writ of this court. Till the discharge application is finally disposed of personal attendance of the petitioner deserves to be dispensed with having regard to the fact that she is presently 85 years of age and bed ridden. Non bailable warrants issued against the petitioner are not to be given effect to till the discharge application is finally decided. Petition disposed of. Order accordingly.

A.M. KHANWILKAR, J.